



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,615	03/18/2004	Hibiki Itoh	G110-040 CON	5877
21706	7590	09/10/2007		
NOTARO AND MICHALOS 100 DUTCH HILL ROAD SUITE 110 ORANGEBURG, NY 10962-2100			EXAMINER LEE, CYNTHIA K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/803,615

Applicant(s)

ITOH, HIBIKI

Examiner

Cynthia Lee

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Response to Amendment***

This Office Action is responsive to the amendment filed on 6/20/2007. Claim 19 has been added. Claims 1-12 and 14-19 are pending.

Applicant's prior art arguments have been considered, but are not persuasive. Claims 1-12 and 14-19 are finally rejected for reasons of record.

***Double Patenting Rejection***

The Double Patenting rejection will be withdrawn upon the approval of the Terminal Disclaimer filed on 6/20/2007.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "being removable" is not found in the original disclosure. Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in reply to this Office Action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to how the seal portion is "removable." Does it mean that the seal material covering the electrode is completely detached from the rest of the sealing material? Or does it mean that the seal at the sealing interface is removable? In view of prior art Poeppel, it has been interpreted that the absence of the sealing material at the inlet/outlet flow passages has been found to read on the limitation "removable."

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

13. Claims 1-8, 11-12, 14, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being unpatentable over Poeppel et al. (US 4,476,196). Poeppel et al. discloses a solid oxide side fuel cell having monolithic cross flow core and manifold comprising the following:

an insulated core with thin layers of an electrolyte and intermediate film (or separator) material sandwiched between layers of porous anode and cathode electrodes respectively; See Claim 1 of Poeppel et al., Column 4 Lines 52-61, Column 6 Line 20 to Column 7 Line 6, Column 8 Line 8 to Column 9 Line 5; The separator material in the current application (second sentence of paragraph 43)

is disclosed as a strontium doped lanthanum chromite based oxide such as lanthanum chromite. The intermediate film material in the reference is lanthanum chromite (See Column 3 Line 17).

a core that has passageways for gas flow paths that are laid out in a crosswise or orthogonal pattern and has transverse manifolds for delivery and removal of reactant material;

with conductive web walls or conductive spacers between the individual cells and in the parallel and perpendicular direction respectively depending if it is an anode or cathode web wall See Column 7 Line 45 to Column 8 Line 7;

an electrolyte and separator material formed via the tape cast method (wetted process) for adhering to the anode and cathode electrode. See Column 8 Line 8-17;

a ceramic paste is used to pack annular space to seal the structure and prevent gas leakage, See Column 6 Lines 52-56;

Conductors or conductive jointing material that link the individual fuel cells See Column 7 Line 30;

a thin layer of electrolyte material **44** can be folded down or up on the side or end portions **64** of the anode and cathode. See Figure 3, See Column 8 Line 64. The folded material encloses the side or end portions to separate the fuel and oxidant gases on opposite sides of the porous electrode material (Column 8 Line 67 to Column 9 Line 4). The passageways for the fuel are formed with only

anode electrode material. The passageways for the oxidant are formed with only the cathode electrode material (Column 6 Lines 57-66).

14. Poeppel et al. discloses that the fuel flow and the oxidant flow are transverse or orthogonal with respect to each other (Column 6 Lines 43-47).

The reference teaches the use of anode, cathode, electrolyte, and separator materials that are matched as closely as possible to one another with respect to each coefficient of thermal expansion. See Column 9 Lines 25-40.

Regarding the limitation "being removable," it has been interpreted that the absence of the sealing material at the inlet/outlet flow passages has been found to read on the limitation "removable."

15. Claim 4 is a product-by-process claim. Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Poeppel et al. discloses the same or an obvious variant of the applicant's electrolyte and separator films. The applicant's process has not been given patentable weight in this claim.

16. It is noted that an entire cross section of the electrodes form a gas flow path because the electrode materials are porous (8:48-50)

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 15, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poeppel et al. (US 4,476, 196) in view of Ketcham et al. (US 6,045,935). Poeppel et al. discloses a solid oxide fuel cell having monolithic cross flow core and manifolds as discussed above and incorporated herein. Poeppel et al. discloses all the limitations of claims 15 except that the air flow path and the fuel flow path are parallel and that the reactants flow co-current or counter-current with respect to each other. Ketcham et al. teaches (see Figure 2), the air flow path and the fuel flow path (35, 36) are arranged parallel and the reactants flow in a co-current arrangement with respect to each other inside the perforated ceramic tube 38 (Figure 3; col. 5 lines 49-63). In Figure 4, the air flow path and the fuel flow path (82, 84) are arranged parallel and the reactants flow in a counter-current arrangement through the center ceramic tube 38 (col. 6 lines 40-42; Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flow path of arrangement of Poeppel et al. to have the reactants flow path arranged parallel with respect to each other and the reactants flowing in a co-current or counter-current design such as taught by Ketcham et al. It has been held by the courts that the rearrangement

Art Unit: 1745

of parts requires only ordinary skill in the art. In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950)

With respect to claims 9 and 18, Poeppel et al. discloses a solid oxide fuel cell having monolithic cross flow core and manifolds. Poeppel et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 9 as discussed above and incorporated herein except that the manifold structures or plates attached to the side surfaces of the laminated body are formed of a glass-ceramic (a type of ceramic). Ketcham et al. teaches a solid oxide fuel cell. Ketcham et al. also teaches that glass-ceramic is used for manifold in solid oxide fuel cells because the glass-ceramic closely matches the expansion properties of the electrolyte (see col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 14).

18. Poeppel et al. discloses that the manifolds on opposite sides of the fuel cell are connected via one or the other of the fuel or air passageways (Column 6 Lines 20-35).

19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use glass-ceramic as the material of construction for the manifolds of Poeppel et al. as taught by Ketcham et al. because the glass ceramic material closely matches the expansion properties of the electrolyte in the solid oxide fuel cell stack. This will alleviate the loss of contact between the manifold and the surface of the laminate body thereby maintaining a proper seal.

20. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poeppel et al. (US 4,476, 196) in view of Ketcham et al. (US. 6,045,935) and as evidenced by Morgan Advanced Ceramics Datasheet for Glass Ceramic. The disclosures of Poeppel et al. and Ketcham et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein. Neither Poeppel



Art Unit: 1745

et al. nor Ketcham et al. explicitly teach that the glass ceramic manifolds are free-cutting glass ceramic. The Morgan Advanced Ceramics Datasheet for Glass Ceramic states that one of the main advantages of glass ceramic is that it can be machined quickly and economically into complex shapes and precision parts using ordinary metal working tools. See [www.morganadvancedceramics.com/materias/gc.htm](http://www.morganadvancedceramics.com/materias/gc.htm) The free-cutting property of the glass-ceramic is an inherent property. See MPEP 2112. The claiming of an inherent property is not patentable. See *In re Napier*, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir.1995) and *In re Grasselli*, 713 F.2d 731, 739, 218 USPQ 769, 775 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

### ***Response to Arguments***

21. Applicant's arguments filed 6/20/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

22. Applicant asserts that Poeppel teaches using only certain structures within the core as the pathways rather than employing the entire structure of the electrode layer.

Applicant refers to the passageways 13 for support. The Examiner remains unpersuaded. Referring to Fig. 3, the web or walls comprise the anode/cathode material and thus, Poeppel uses the entire electrode structure for gas passage.

23. Applicant asserts that the fuel or oxidant does not flow through the anode or the cathode material itself. Applicant asserts that the oxidant/fuel passing through the core flows across the surface of the walls 70 or 72 , and not through the anode/cathode material constituting the walls 70 and 72 (emphasis added). The Examiner remains

unpersuaded. Since the anode/cathode materials are porous, it will necessarily flow through the electrode materials (8:48-50 of Peoppel).

***Conclusion***

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckl

  
SUSY TSANG-FOSTER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER